

Jeremiah Heller.

Motivic Segal conjecture.

25 October 2017.

Joint with Grayson-Kylling-Rognes-Østvær.

I. Classical story.

$$\text{Atiyah: } [BG, \mathbb{Z} \times BU] \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Rep}(G)_{\mathbb{I}}^{\wedge}.$$

$G$  finite complex m.p. ring

$\mathbb{I}$  is the kernel of  
the rank map  $\text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

What about  $[BG, \mathbb{Z} \times B\mathbb{Z}/6]$  or, motivated by Barnat-Priddy-Quillen,  
 $[BG, QS^0]$ ,

since  $QS^0 \cong \Omega^{\infty} \Sigma^{\infty} S^0$ . We get maps from finite  $G$ -sets.

Get a map

$$A(G) \rightarrow [BG, QS^0]$$

↑  
Bousfield map  
of finite  $G$ -sets.

Call this the weak  
Segal conjecture.

Segal conjectured that  $A(G)_{\mathbb{I}}^{\wedge} \cong [BG, QS^0]$  for  $G$  finite,  
 $\mathbb{I}$  the augmentation ideal, kernel of the map counting points.

Henceforth, everything is stable.

$$A(G) \cong \pi_0^G(\mathbb{S}), \text{ equivalent } \pi_0.$$

$$[BG, QS^0] \cong \pi_0^G(F(EG_+, \delta)) \cong \pi_0(\mathbb{S}^{hg}).$$

Segal conjecture reformulated:  $\mathbb{S}^G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{S}^{hg}$  equivariant after  
completion at the augmentation ideal.

Ex.  $G = C_p$ , this is equivalent to showing it is an  $\cong$   
after  $p$ -completion.

Rem.  $\mathcal{S}^G \simeq \mathcal{S} \vee B\mathbb{C}_p$  Tom-Diack splitting.

$$\mathcal{S}^h \mathbb{C}_p \simeq DBC_p.$$

This conjecture is known.

$C_2$  Lin.

$C_p$  Gunawardena.

$C_p$  Ravenel.

$(C_p)^{**}$  Adams - Gunawardena - Miller.

Same Our Carlsson.

## II. Motivic homotopy theory.

$S$  base (probably motivic) scheme.

$G$ , order invertible in  $S$ .

$$\text{Sm}_S^G \quad \mathcal{P}(\text{Sm}_S^G) \xrightarrow{\textcircled{1}} \text{Spc}^G(S)$$

① A motivic  $G$ -space is a presheaf which is  $A^1$ -invariant and Nisnevich exactness.

② stabilize

$\text{Sp}^G(S)$

$$\mathcal{F}(X \times A^1) \simeq \mathcal{F}(X)$$

Nisnevich exactness...

②  $V$  a  $G$ -vector bundle on  $S$ .

Motivic representation sphere  $T^V = V/VIS$ .

Now, stabilize w/respect to all of these.

Enough to stabilize w.r.t. the regular

rep. sphere  $T^{\mathbb{P}^1}$ .

$$X \in \text{Sp}^G(S)$$

$$X^{hG} = \lim_G X \simeq F(EG_+, X)^G$$

Ordinary motivic spectra.

$$EG = (\dots G \times G \times G \rightrightarrows G \times G \rightrightarrows G)$$

More interestingly

$$EG(W) := \begin{cases} + & W \text{ has free action,} \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thm.  $EG/G \simeq BG$ .



Geometric classifying space, Milnor-Koszulsky, Totaro.

"Improved" homotopy fixed points

$$F(EG_+, X)^G$$

Get a square

$$X^G \longrightarrow F(EG_+, X)^G \longrightarrow X^{hG}$$

Conjecture (Motivic Segal).

$$\pi_{+,+} \mathcal{S}^G \longrightarrow \pi_{+,+} F(EG_+, \mathcal{S})^G$$

an iso. after suitable completion.

Thm (GWKRØ). Let  $S = \text{spec}(k)$ ,  $k$  a field,

char  $k \neq 2$ ,  $\# |k^*/k^{*2}| < \infty$ . Then,

$$\tilde{\pi}_{+,+}(\mathcal{S}^{C_2}) \cong \tilde{\pi}_{+,+} F(\mathbb{H}C_2, \mathcal{S})^{C_2}$$

Completion w.r.t.  $2, \eta$ .

Note.  $\mathcal{S}^{C_2} \simeq \mathcal{S} \vee BC_2$

$$F(EG_+, \mathcal{S}) \simeq D(BC_{2,1})$$

Motivic Tom-Dade splitting.  
Gegen-Heller.

Outline of proof.

1) Motivic Tate diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbb{E}C_{2+} \wedge_{C_2} X & \longrightarrow & X^{C_2} & \longrightarrow & (\tilde{\mathbb{E}}C_2 \wedge X)^{C_2} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{E}C_{2+} \wedge_{C_2} X & \longrightarrow & F(\mathbb{E}C_{2+}, X)^{C_2} & \longrightarrow & [\tilde{\mathbb{E}}C_2 \wedge F(\mathbb{E}C_{2+}, X)]^{C_2}
 \end{array}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}C_2 = \text{cofib}(\mathbb{E}C_{2+} \rightarrow S^0)$$

$$\mathbb{E}C_2 = \text{colim}_n A(n\sigma) \setminus \{0\} \Rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{E}}C_2 \simeq T^{\infty\sigma}$$

size n p's

$$A(n\sigma) = A^n, \quad x \mapsto -x$$

Then (Gepner-Heller).

$$1) \Phi^{C_2}(\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\infty} \vee C_2.$$

$$2) (\mathbb{E}C_{2+} \wedge X)^{C_2} \simeq \mathbb{E}C_{2+} \wedge_{C_2} X.$$

Plug in  $X = \mathbb{S}$ . Find a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{S}^{C_2} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 F(\mathbb{E}C_{2+}, \mathbb{S}) & \longrightarrow & \lim_n \mathbb{Z} L_{-n}^{\infty}
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{where } L_{-n}^{\infty} = \mathbb{E}C_{2+} \wedge_{C_2} T^{-n\sigma},$$

$$L^{\infty} = \mathbb{B}C_{2+}.$$

So, to prove the theorem, can study  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \lim_n \mathbb{Z} L_{-n}^{\infty}$ .

2) Inverse limit of Adams s.s. for each  $n$ .

$$\text{Ext}_A^{\infty} \left( H_{\text{mot}}^{\ast, \ast}(\Sigma L_{-n}^{\wedge}), M_2 \right) \Rightarrow \hat{\pi}_{\ast, \ast}(\Sigma L_{-n}^{\wedge})$$

↑  
motivic Steenrod algebra

Inverse limit of these gives

$$\text{Ext}_A^{\infty} \left( H_{\text{cont}}^{\ast, \ast}(\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\wedge}), M_2 \right) \Rightarrow \hat{\pi}_{\ast, \ast}(\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\wedge}).$$

3) Motivic Mayer construction

$$R_+ : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$$

$$R_+(M) \rightarrow M \quad \leftarrow \text{Tor-equival.}$$

$$M_2 \cong H_{\text{mot}}^{\ast, \ast}(\text{pt}).$$

$$4) H_{\text{cont}}^{\ast, \ast}(L_{-\infty}^{\wedge}) \cong H^{\ast, \ast}(\mathbb{BC}_2^+) [y^{-1}],$$

$y$  Euler class of sign rep.

this gives

$$\text{Also, } R_+(M_2) \cong \Sigma H_{\text{cont}}^{\ast, \ast}(L_{-\infty}^{\wedge}).$$

5) Conclude.

$$\text{Ext}_A^{\infty}(M_2, M_2) \Rightarrow \hat{\pi}_{\ast, \ast}(\mathbb{S})$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \cong \text{From Motivic} \\ \text{Mayer construction.} \end{array} \right. \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{Ext}_A^{\infty}(R_+(M_2), M_2) \Rightarrow \hat{\pi}_{\ast, \ast}(\Sigma L_{-\infty}^{\wedge})$$